



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,879	01/24/2001	Ma-Chi Chen		5488
75	90 11/26/2002			
Ma-Chi Chen			EXAMINER	
19721 Auburn CT Cupertino, CA 95014			SLACK, NAOKO N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/769,879	CHEN, MA-CHI			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Naoko Slack	3635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	L	h			
This application is abandoned in view of:		-			
· ·					
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on <u>22 October 2002</u> (with a Cerl	ificate of Mailing or Transmission date extension of time of $\underline{6}$ month(s)) which	ch expired on <u>19 October 2002</u> .			
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8		the statutory period of three months			
 (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of			
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		e the period for seeking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:					
		W/			
		Naoko Stack			
		Patent Examiner			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTO-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Application/Control Number: 09/769,879

Art Unit: 3635

Response to Amendment

Applicant's reply to the Office Action of Paper #4 mailed April 19, 2002 was received in the Patent and Trademark Office on October 22, 2002, which is after the expiration of the period for reply set in the last Office Action. Since no time remains for applicant to obtain an extension of the period for reply by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), this application is *abandoned*. Applicant is advised that the abandonment of this application may only be overcome by filing a petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137. A petition to revive may be appropriate if applicants failure to reply was either unavoidable or unintentional, as set forth below.

A. Failure to reply was unavoidable.

A petition to revive an abandoned application on the grounds that the failure to reply was unavoidable (37 CFR 1.137(a)) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply (which has been filed); (2) a showing to the satisfaction of the Commissioner that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a) was unavoidable; (3) any terminal disclaimer required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c); and (4) the \$55 petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(I). No consideration to the substance of a petition will be given until this fee is received.

The showing requirement can be met by submission of statements of fact establishing that the delay in filing the reply was unavoidable, as well as inadvertent.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,879

Art Unit: 3635

This must include: (1) a satisfactory showing that the cause of the delay resulting in failure to reply in timely fashion to the Office action was unavoidable; and (2) a satisfactory showing that the cause of any delay during the time period between abandonment and filing of the petition to revive was also unavoidable.

A terminal disclaimer and the \$55 terminal disclaimer fee is required under 37 CFR 1.137(c) if the application is: (1) a design application, (2) a utility application filed before June 8, 1995, or (3) a plant application filed before June 8, 1995. The terminal disclaimer must dedicate to the public a terminal part of the term of any patent granted the application equivalent to the period of abandonment of the application, and must also apply to any patent granted on any application containing a specific reference under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c) to the application for which revival is sought.

B. Failure to reply was unintentional.

A petition to revive an abandoned application on the grounds that the failure to reply was unintentional (37 CFR 1.137(b)) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply (which has been filed); (2) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; (3) any terminal disclaimer required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c) (see above discussion); and (4) the \$55 petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m). No consideration to the substance of a petition will be given until this fee

Application/Control Number: 09/769,879

Art Unit: 3635

is received. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a

question whether the delay was unintentional.

The required items and fees must be submitted promptly under a cover letter

entitled "Petition to Revive."

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as

follows:

By mail:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Box DAC

Washington, DC 20231

By FAX:

(703) 308-6916

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Crystal Plaza 4, Room 3C23

2201 South Clark Place Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries with respect to this matter should be directed to the Office of

Petitions Staff at (703) 305-9282. For more detailed information, see MPEP §

711.03(c).

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naoko Slack whose telephone number is (703) 305-0315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (6:00 am-2:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (703) 308-0839. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

NS C

November 25, 2002

Carl D. Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600